

Tacoma Dome Link Extension

Draft Environmental Impact Statement







December 13, 2024

Dear Recipient:

The U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Sound Transit (the Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority) have prepared this Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the proposed Tacoma Dome Link Extension Project. The Draft EIS informs Tribes, the public, agencies, and decision makers about the alternatives and environmental consequences of building and operating the Tacoma Dome Link Extension from the City of Federal Way in King County to Tacoma in Pierce County. The document was prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 United States Code 4321) and the State Environmental Policy Act (Chapter 43.21C Revised Code of Washington). Sound Transit is the project proponent.

In July 2019, the Sound Transit Board identified the alternatives for study in the Draft EIS, including preferred alternatives for the majority of the Tacoma Dome Link Extension. In March 2023, the Sound Transit Board identified additional alternatives for study. The major choices for the project involve the route of the light rail line and station locations. The project would extend Link light rail nearly 10-miles and includes four stations. The alternatives are generally along either Pacific Highway (State Route 99) or Interstate 5 from Federal Way through Fife. All Alternatives would cross the Puyallup River at the same location and follow a similar route to about East Portland Avenue in Tacoma. The alternatives then split into multiple routes between East 25th Street and East 26th Street.

The Sound Transit Board will consider the analysis in the Draft EIS, Tribal, public and agency comments, and other information before confirming or modifying the preferred alternative. FTA and Sound Transit will prepare a Final EIS, which will respond to substantive comments on the Draft EIS and will evaluate impacts and potential mitigation measures for all of the alternatives. After completion of the Final EIS, the Sound Transit Board will select the project to be built.

The Draft EIS includes the Executive Summary and appendices, which can be found on the flash drive included in this document and online at www.soundtransit.org/system-expansion/tacoma-dome-link-extension/documents. Please see the Fact Sheet in this Draft EIS regarding availability of appendices and technical report hard copies, information on public meetings, and how to comment on the Draft EIS, and whom to contact for further information.

Sincerely,

Signed by:

Erin Green

C2Z07795F35745D...

Erin Green

South Corridor Environmental Manager

CHAIR

Dow Constantine
King County Executive

VICE CHAIRS

Kim Roscoe Fife Mayor

Dave SomersSnohomish County Executive

BOARD MEMBERS

Nancy Backus Auburn Mayor

Claudia Balducci King County Councilmember

> Angela Birney Redmond Mayor

Bruce Dammeier
Pierce County Executive

Cassie Franklin Everett Mayor

Christine Frizzell
Lynnwood Mayor

Bruce Harrell Seattle Mayor

Jim Kastama Puyallup Mayor

Roger Millar Washington State Secretary of Transportation

Ed Prince Renton Councilmember

Dan StraussSeattle Councilmember

Dave Upthegrove *King County Council Chair*

Peter von Reichbauer King County Councilmember

Kristina Walker Tacoma Councilmember

Girmay Zahilay
King County Council Vice Chair

INTERIM
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
Goran Sparrman

Commitment to Accessibility

Sound Transit and the United States Department of Transportation - Federal Transit Administration are committed to ensuring that information is available in appropriate alternative formats to meet the requirements of persons who have a disability. If you require an alternative version of this file, please contact FTAWebAccessibility@dot.gov.

TACOMA DOME LINK EXTENSION KING AND PIERCE COUNTIES, WASHINGTON DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

Submitted pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (42 United States Code 4321) and the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) (Ch. 43.21C Revised Code of Washington) by the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION and CENTRAL PUGET SOUND REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY

(Sound Transit)
in cooperation with
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF FEDERAL WAY
CITY OF MILTON
CITY OF FIFE
CITY OF TACOMA

10/31/2024	Susan Fletcher
Date of Approval	Susan Fietcher, Regional Administrator
	NEPA Responsible Official
	For Federal Transit Administration, Region 10
	Signed by:
10/31/2024	Perry Weinberg
Date of Approval	Perry Weinberg
	Deputy Executive Director
	Office of Environmental Affairs and
	Sustainability
	SEPA Responsible Official
	For Sound Transit

Signed by:

Acknowledgement

As we plan and build one of the largest transit expansions in North America, which includes the first light rail transit extension on reservation lands in the history of the United States, we are dedicated to our Tribal partners. We would first like to acknowledge the people who have been here since time immemorial: the Puyallup Tribe of Indians, the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe, the Nisqually Indian Tribe, and the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation. The relationships with these Tribes are imperative for success and to keep our commitment to uplifting Tribal communities with every step we take.

FACT SHEET

Proposed Action

The Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority (Sound Transit) is proposing to expand the regional light rail system south from the City of Federal Way to Tacoma, Washington. The proposed light rail extension, called the Tacoma Dome Link Extension (TDLE), would be within the cities of Federal Way, Milton, Fife, and Tacoma in King and Pierce Counties. The project travels across the ancestral and reservation lands of the Puyallup Tribe of the Puyallup Reservation (Puyallup Tribe of Indians) and a small portion of unincorporated Pierce County.

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and Sound Transit are consulting with four Tribes for the TDLE project: the Puyallup Tribe of Indians; the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe; the Nisqually Indian Tribe; and the Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakama Nation.

The proposed project is part of the Sound Transit 3 Plan, funding for which was approved by voters in 2016 (Sound Transit 2016). TDLE would begin at the future South Federal Way Station in Federal Way and end in the Tacoma Dome area of Tacoma. The nearly 10-milelong project corridor would have four stations and generally parallel State Route (SR) 99 and Interstate 5 (I-5), which are the major north-south routes.

FTA is the lead federal agency under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Sound Transit is the lead agency under the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). This Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) has been prepared consistent with NEPA and SEPA. The analysis is designed to help Tribes, elected officials, agency decision-makers, community leaders, and the public understand the range of environmental impacts that could result from the proposal. The Draft EIS describes potential adverse impacts of each alternative and describes proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate potential adverse impacts. The Draft EIS identifies a preferred alternative for a portion of the project, with the exception of sections through Federal Way and Fife. The Sound Transit Board will identify a preferred alternative for the remaining portion through Federal Way and Fife and confirm or modify the preferred alternative after publication of the Draft EIS.

This Draft EIS evaluates several build (light rail) alternatives and a No-Build Alternative, which considers how the transportation system would operate if the proposed project were not built. The No-Build Alternative also provides a baseline against which to measure the impacts of the build alternatives. The build alternatives include at-grade and elevated light rail alignments with different station configurations.

Project Proponent

Sound Transit 401 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104-2826 www.soundtransit.org

Dates of Construction and Opening

Sound Transit proposes to begin construction of TDLE in 2028 and forecasts an in-service date of 2035. Parking facilities at the South Federal Way and Fife stations would open by 2038 per the system expansion realignment plan adopted by Sound Transit Board Resolution R2021-05.

NEPA Lead Agency

Federal Transit Administration 915 2nd Avenue, Suite 3192 Seattle, WA 98174-1002 www.fta.dot.gov/about/region10

NEPA Responsible Official

Susan Fletcher, Regional Administrator Federal Transit Administration Region 10 915 2nd Avenue, Suite 3192 Seattle, WA 98174-1002

SEPA Responsible Official

Perry Weinberg, Deputy Executive Director, Office of Environmental Affairs and Sustainability Sound Transit 401 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104-2826

Contacts for Additional Information

Federal Transit Administration

Erin Littauer, Environmental Protection Specialist Federal Transit Administration Region 10 915 2nd Avenue, Suite 3192 Seattle, WA 98174-1002 206-220-7954 erin.littauer@dot.gov

Sound Transit

Erin Green, South Corridor Environmental Manager, 206-398-5464 erin.green@soundtransit.org

Elma Borbe, Senior Environmental Planner, 206-398-5445 elma.borbe@soundtransit.org

Artie Nelson, Senior Community Engagement Specialist, 206-398-5071 artie.nelson@soundtransit.org

Mailing Address: Sound Transit 401 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104-2826

Anticipated Permits and Approvals

Tribes

Puyallup Tribe of Indians

- Land use and environmental review and approvals in accordance with Puyallup Tribal Code Chapter 15.16
- Clean Water Act Section 401 review of Water Quality Certification
- Intergovernmental Agreement

Federal Agencies

Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)

- Air Space Lease for Use of Interstate Right-of-Way
- Limited Access Break
- Operations and Maintenance Agreement
- NEPA Record of Decision (ROD)
- Design Deviation Approval
- I-5 Compatibility Report

Federal Transit Administration (FTA)

- NEPA Final EIS and ROD
- National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Review
- United States Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Review
- Land and Water Conservation Fund Section 6(f) Review

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

- Conditional Letter of Map Revision (CLOMR), if needed
- Letter of Map Revision (LOMR), if needed

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

- Clean Water Act, Section 404 Permit: Wetlands Approval
- Rivers and Harbors Act, Section 10
- United States Code Title 33 Section 408 (Section 408 Review)

U.S. Coast Guard

• Rivers and Harbors Act, Section 9 (Bridge Permit)

U.S. Department of the Interior

- Bureau of Indian Affairs, record Tribal easements
- National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Review
- U.S. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Review
- Land and Water Conservation Fund Section 6(f)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

• Sole Source Aquifer, project review

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Federal Endangered Species Act Review

National Parks Service

U.S. Department of Transportation Act Section 4(f) Review

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Fisheries Service

- Federal Endangered Species Act Review
- Essential Fish Habitat Review
- Marine Mammal Protection Act Review

State, County, and Regional Agencies

Sound Transit

SEPA Project Approval

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

Hydraulic Project Approval

Washington State Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation

National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Review

Washington State Department of Ecology

- Clean Water Act Section 401: Water Quality Certification
- Coastal Zone Management Consistency Certification
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Stormwater Discharge Permit, Clean Water Act Section 402
- Underground Storage Tank 30-Day Notice
- Wastewater Discharge Permit

Washington State Department of Ecology and Puget Sound Clean Air Agency

Notice of Construction (Air Quality)

Washington State Department of Natural Resources

Aquatic Lands Lease

Washington State Department of Transportation

- Air Space Lease: State Transportation Routes and Interstate Right-of-Way (with FHWA)
- Construction Oversight Agreement
- Utility Franchise
- Design Documentation Package
- General Permits
- Limited Access Break (with FHWA), if needed
- Operations and Maintenance Agreement (with FHWA)
- Survey Permits
- I-5 Compatibility Report (with FHWA)

Local Jurisdictions

Federal Way, Milton, Fife, Tacoma, and/or Pierce County

- Land Use Approvals, such as zoning code amendments, zoning code divergences (variance and/or various administrative processes), Hearing Examiner approval, conditional use permits, special use permits, design review approvals, site plan approvals, lot boundary adjustment/elimination, and development agreements
- Building Permits, such as building, mechanical, plumbing, electrical, sign, fence, awning, and conveyance (elevators and/or escalators) permits
- Construction Permits, such as clearing and grading, demolition, drainage, driveway, haul route, sanitary sewer, side sewer, street use, and tree protection permits
- Environmental Critical Areas/Sensitive Areas Review and Approvals, including wetlands, streams, steep slopes, flood zones, critical habitat, and buffers
- Shoreline Approvals, such as substantial development permits, exemptions, or other approvals
- Noise Variances
- Permanent, Interim, or Temporary Right-of-Way Permits or Franchises (utilities)
- Street and Alley Vacations
- Access or Use Easements for City-Owned Properties
- Floodplain Development License

Other

Utility Providers

- Pipeline and Utility Crossing Permits
- Easements and Use Agreements

Other permits and approvals to be determined.

Principal Contributors

This Draft EIS was prepared by Sound Transit and consultants at the following firms: HDR Inc., Parametrix, Aqua Terra Cultural Resource Consultants LLC, Casseday Consulting, Cross-Spectrum Acoustics LLC, Heffron Transportation Inc., Historical Research Associates, ECONorthwest, Envirolssues Inc., and TwoHundred. See Appendix A for a detailed list of preparers.

Date of Issue

December 13, 2024

Commenting on the Draft EIS

The public is encouraged to comment on the Draft EIS; substantive comments will be responded to in the Final EIS. The Draft EIS will be available for a comment period of 60 days, beginning December 13, 2024. Comments on the Draft EIS can be made in writing, by email, voicemail, or at the public hearings. All comments are due by close of business February 10, 2025. Send written comments to the following address:

Attention: Elma Borbe, Senior Environmental Planner Sound Transit 401 S Jackson Street Seattle, WA 98104

Email comments should be sent to tdlinkdeis@soundtransit.org. Written or emailed comments should include your name and return address. Comments may also be submitted on voicemail at 206-257-2144, online in the project's Open House (soundtransit.org/tdlink-deis), and at a public hearing/open house:

Tuesday January 21, 2025 - ONLINE OPEN HOUSE

Time: 11:30 a.m.-1:30 p.m.

Online: https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85314895495

Thursday January 23, 2025 - TACOMA

Time: 5:30-7:30 p.m.

Location: Greater Tacoma Convention Center 1500 Commerce Street, Tacoma, WA 98402

Tuesday January 28, 2025 – FEDERAL WAY

Time: 5:30-7:30 p.m.

Location: Federal Way Performing Arts and Events Center 31510 Pete von Reichbauer Way S, Federal Way, WA 98003

Thursday January 30, 2025 - FIFE

Time: 5:30-7:30 p.m.

Location: Fife Community Center 2111 54th Avenue E, Fife, WA 98424

Next Actions

Following publication of this Draft EIS and the close of the public comment period, the Sound Transit Board is expected to consider the comments received and confirm or modify the Preferred Alternative for evaluation in the Final EIS, as well as identify a Preferred Alternative for the portion of the project in the cities of Federal Way and Fife, where none has been identified. The Final EIS will analyze the Preferred Alternative along with the other proposed light rail alternatives and the No-Build Alternative. The Final EIS will also respond to substantive Tribal, agency, and public comments on the Draft EIS. Following issuance of the Final EIS, the Sound Transit Board will select the project to be built, including the route and stations.

The FTA will then issue a ROD describing the project Sound Transit will build and how it will avoid, minimize, and mitigate environmental impacts.

Related Documents

- Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, Long-Range Plan Update (Sound Transit 2014)
- Sound Transit 3: The Regional Transit System Plan for Central Puget Sound (Sound Transit 2016)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Early Scoping Information Report (Sound Transit 2018a)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Early Scoping Summary Report (Sound Transit 2018b)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Pre-Screening and Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation Report (Sound Transit 2019a)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Scoping Information Report (Sound Transit 2019b)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Scoping Summary Report (Sound Transit 2019c)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation Report (Sound Transit 2019d)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Screening for Fife Station Options (Sound Transit 2023a)
- Tacoma Dome Link Extension Screening for Additional Alternatives in South Federal Way to Milton (Sound Transit 2023b)

All the above Sound Transit documents are available on the Sound Transit website, www.soundtransit.org.

Cost of Document and Availability for Review and/or Purchase

This Draft EIS is available for public review in locations listed below. It is available on the Sound Transit website (https://www.soundtransit.org/system-expansion/tacoma-dome-link-extension/documents). Paper copies are available for the cost listed below, which does not exceed the cost of reproduction:

- Executive Summary free
- Draft EIS \$25
- Technical Reports \$15 each
- Conceptual Design Drawings (Appendix F) \$15

To request paper copies or a flash drive of the documents, please contact Dominique Jones at 206-689-4783 or email dominique.jones@soundtransit.org. To review the documents at the Sound Transit Office, please call the Sound Transit librarian at 206-398-5344 weekdays from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. to arrange an appointment.

Paper copies of the Draft EIS documents are also available for review at the following public places:

King County Library System:

- Federal Way 320th Library, 848 S 320th Street, Federal Way
- Federal Way Library, 34200 1st Way S, Federal Way

Pierce County Library System

- Fife Pierce County Library, 6622 20th Street E, Fife
- Milton/Edgewood Library, 900 Meridian E, Suite 29, Milton
- Tacoma Public Library, Mottet Branch, 3523 East G Street, Tacoma

Government and Community Centers

- Federal Way City Hall, 33325 8th Avenue S, Federal Way
- Federal Way Community Center, 876 S 333rd Street, Federal Way
- Milton City Hall/Milton Activity Center, 1000 Laurel Street, Milton
- Fife City Hall, 5411 23rd Street E, Fife
- Puyallup Tribe of Indians Tribal Headquarters, 3009 Portland Avenue E, Tacoma
- Tacoma City Hall, 747 Market Street, Tacoma
- Eastside Community Center (East Tacoma), 1721 E 56th Street, Tacoma

Table of Contents

FACT	SHEET			i
EXEC	UTIVE S	SUMMARY	,	ES-1
	ES.1	Introducti	on	ES-1
	ES.2	Purpose	and Need	ES-4
		ES.2.1	Purpose of TDLE	ES-4
		ES.2.2	Need for TDLE	ES-5
		ES.2.3	TDLE Meets the Need	ES-6
	ES.3	Alternativ	es Considered	ES-7
		ES.3.1	Alternatives Development Process	ES-7
		ES.3.2	Build Alternatives	ES-9
		ES.3.3	No-Build Alternative	ES-34
	ES.4	Avoidand	e, Minimization, and Mitigation Measures	ES-35
	ES.5	Significar	nt and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	ES-37
	ES.6	Other En	vironmental Considerations	ES-38
		ES.6.1	Section 4(f) Resources	ES-38
		ES.6.2	Environmental Justice	ES-39
		ES.6.3	Commitment of Resources	ES-40
	ES.7	Tribal, Ag	gency, and Public Involvement	ES-40
		ES.7.1	Tribal Consultation	ES-40
		ES.7.2	Chartered Group Engagement	
		ES.7.3	Public Outreach	
		ES.7.4	Agency Coordination	ES-42
	ES.8	Areas of	Controversy and Issues to Be Resolved	ES-43
	ES.9	Opinion o	of Probable Cost	ES-43
	ES.10	Next Step	ວຣ	ES-45
1	PURP	OSE AND	NEED FOR TACOMA DOME LINK EXTENSION	1-1
	1.1	Tacoma I	Dome Link Extension Project	1-1
	1.2	Purpose	and Need for TDLE	1-3
		1.2.1	Purpose of the Project	1-3
		1.2.2	Need for the Project	1-4
	1.3	Planning	History of the TDLE Corridor	1-7
	1.4	Next Step	os and Schedule	1-8
		1.4.1	Project Schedule	
		1.4.2	Draft EIS Review and Comment	
		1.4.3	Final EIS and Project Decision	1-9
		1.4.4	Benefits and Disadvantages of Delaying Project Implementa	ation1 - 9

2	ALTE	ERNATIVE	S CONSIDERED	2-1
	2.1	Build Al	Iternatives	2-1
		2.1.1	Components of Build Alternatives	2-2
		2.1.2	Build Alternatives and Options	2-10
	2.2	No-Buil	d Alternative	2-33
	2.3	Minimu	m Operable Segments and Interim Termini	2-34
	2.4	Alternat	tives Development and Scoping	2-34
		2.4.1	Early Scoping	
		2.4.2	Initial Development of Draft EIS Alternatives	2-35
		2.4.3	NEPA and SEPA EIS Scoping Process	2-36
		2.4.4	Alternatives Carried Forward	2-36
		2.4.5	Alternatives Not Carried Forward	2-37
		2.4.6	Additional Alternatives Development and Engagement	2-37
	2.5	Constru	uction Approach	2-37
		2.5.1	Construction Sequence, Activities, and Durations	2-38
		2.5.2	Typical Construction Activities	2-39
		2.5.3	Staging Areas and Construction Easements	2-42
		2.5.4	Overview of Construction Approach for TDLE Alternatives	2-42
		2.5.5	Stations	2-47
	2.6	Environ	mental Practices and Commitments	2-47
	2.7	Project	Funding and Opinion of Probable Cost	2-48
		2.7.1	Project Funding	2-48
		2.7.2	Cost Comparison	2-49
		2.7.3	Operation and Maintenance Costs	2-51
3	TRA	NSPORTA	TION ENVIRONMENT AND CONSEQUENCES	3-1
	3.1	Affected	d Environment	3-1
		3.1.1	Transportation Conditions and Trends	3-1
		3.1.2	Regional Transportation	3-3
		3.1.3	Transit Operations	3-6
		3.1.4	Arterial and Local Streets	3-8
		3.1.5	Freight Mobility and Access	3-10
		3.1.6	Nonmotorized Access	3-11
		3.1.7	Safety	3-13
		3.1.8	Parking	3-15
		3.1.9	Navigation	3-15
	3.2	Regiona	al Transportation	3-16
		3.2.1	Environmental Impacts to Regional Transportation	3-16
		3.2.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	3-17

	3.3	Transit C	Operations	3-17
		3.3.1	Environmental Impacts to Transit Operations	3-18
		3.3.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	3-25
	3.4	Arterial a	and Local Streets	3-25
		3.4.1	Environmental Impacts to Arterial and Local Streets	3-26
		3.4.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	3-40
	3.5	Freight N	Mobility and Access	3-43
		3.5.1	Environmental Impacts to Freight Mobility and Access	3-43
		3.5.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	3-45
	3.6	Nonmoto	orized Access	3-45
		3.6.1	Environmental Impacts to Nonmotorized Modes	3-45
		3.6.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	
	3.7	Safety		3-49
		3.7.1	Environmental Impacts to Safety	3-49
		3.7.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	3-54
	3.8	Parking.		3-54
		3.8.1	Environmental Impacts to Parking	
		3.8.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	
	3.9	Navigatio	on	3-61
		3.9.1	Environmental Impacts to Navigation	
		3.9.2	Potential Mitigation Measures	
	3.10	Indirect I	mpacts	3-62
		3.10.1	Regional Travel	
		3.10.2	Transit Service and Operations	
		3.10.3	Arterial and Local Street Operations	
		3.10.4	Freight Mobility and Access	
		3.10.5	Nonmotorized Access	3-63
		3.10.6	Safety	3-63
		3.10.7	Parking	3-63
		3.10.8	Navigation	3-64
		3.10.9	Mitigation for Indirect Impacts	3-64
4	AFFE	CTED ENV	/IRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
	4.1	Acquisition	ons, Displacements, and Relocations	4.1-1
		4.1.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	
		4.1.2	Affected Environment	
		4.1.3	Environmental Impacts	4.1-2
		4.1.4	Sound Transit Acquisition and Relocation Policy Summary	
		4.1.5	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.1-11

4.2	Land Us	se	4.2-1
	4.2.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.2-1
	4.2.2	Affected Environment	4.2-1
	4.2.3	Environmental Impacts	4.2-13
	4.2.4	Consistency with Land Use Plans, Policies, and Regulations.	4.2-21
	4.2.5	Avoidance and Minimization of Impacts	4.2-21
	4.2.6	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.2-22
4.3	Econom	nics	4.3-1
	4.3.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.3-1
	4.3.2	Affected Environment	4.3-1
	4.3.3	Environmental Impacts	4.3-3
	4.3.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.3-16
4.4		mental Justice, Social Resources, Community Facilities, and orhoods	4.4-1
	4.4.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	
	4.4.2	Affected Environment	
	4.4.3	Environmental Impacts	4.4-12
	4.4.4	Environmental Justice Summary	
	4.4.5	Potential Mitigation Measures	
4.5	Visual a	and Aesthetic Resources	4.5-1
	4.5.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.5-1
	4.5.2	Affected Environment	4.5-1
	4.5.3	Environmental Impacts	4.5-13
	4.5.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	
4.6	Air Qua	lity and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	4.6-1
	4.6.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.6-1
	4.6.2	Affected Environment	
	4.6.3	Environmental Impacts	4.6-4
	4.6.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.6-11
4.7	Noise a	nd Vibration	4.7-1
	4.7.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	
	4.7.2	Affected Environment	4.7-4
	4.7.3	Environmental Impacts	4.7-10
	4.7.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	
4.8	Water R	Resources	4.8-1
	4.8.1	Requirements and Policies	
	4.8.2	Affected Environment	
	4.8.3	Environmental Impacts	
	4.8.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	

4.9	Ecosyste	em Resources	4.9-1
	4.9.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.9-1
	4.9.2	Affected Environment	4.9-1
	4.9.3	Environmental Impacts	4.9-20
	4.9.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.9-48
4.10	Energy		4.10-1
	4.10.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.10-1
	4.10.2	Affected Environment	4.10-1
	4.10.3	Environmental Impacts	4.10-2
	4.10.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.10-6
4.11	Geology	and Soils	4.11-1
	4.11.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.11-1
	4.11.2	Affected Environment	4.11-1
	4.11.3	Environmental Impacts	4.11-5
	4.11.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.11-8
4.12	Hazardo	us Materials	4.12-1
	4.12.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.12-1
	4.12.2	Affected Environment	4.12-1
	4.12.3	Environmental Impacts	4.12-8
	4.12.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.12-12
4.13	Electrom	agnetic Fields	4.13-1
	4.13.1	Introduction and Regulatory Requirements	4.13-1
	4.13.2	Affected Environment	4.13-1
	4.13.3	Environmental Impacts	4.13-2
	4.13.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.13-4
4.14	Public Se	ervices, Safety, and Security	4.14-1
	4.14.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.14-1
	4.14.2	Affected Environment	4.14-1
	4.14.3	Environmental Impacts	4.14-13
	4.14.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.14-20
4.15	Utilities		4.15-1
	4.15.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	4.15-1
	4.15.2	Affected Environment	4.15-1
	4.15.3	Environmental Impacts	4.15-2
	4.15.4	Potential Mitigation Measures	4.15-9
4.16	Historic a	and Archaeological Resources	4.16-1
	4.16.1	Introduction to Resources and Regulatory Requirements	
	4.16.2	Tribal Consultation and Additional Consulting Parties	
	4.16.3	Affected Environment	4.16-2
	4 16 4	Survey and Inventory Methods and Results	4 16₋6

		4.16.5 4.16.6	Environmental Impacts Potential Mitigation Measures	
	4.47		•	
	4.17		nd Recreational Resources	
		4.17.1	Introduction to Resource and Regulatory Requirements	
		4.17.2	Affected Environment	
		4.17.3	Environmental Impacts	
		4.17.4 4.17.5	Section 6(f) Resources and RCO Properties Potential Mitigation Measures	
		_	•	
	4.18		4(f) Evaluation Summary	
		4.18.1	Study Area	
		4.18.2	Section 4(f) Resources in the TDLE Study Area	
		4.18.3	Potential Use of Section 4(f) Resources	4.18-6
		4.18.4	Avoidance Alternatives, Minimization Measures, and Least Harm Analysis	4.18-7
		4.18.5	Coordination with Officials with Jurisdiction of Section 4(f) Resources	4.18-10
5	CUMI	JLATIVE II	MPACTS	5-1
	5.1	Geograp	phic and Temporal Boundaries of Cumulative Impact Analysis	5-2
	5.2	Past and	Present Actions	5-2
		5.2.1	Natural Environment	5-3
		5.2.2	Built Environment	5-4
	5.3	Reasona	ably Foreseeable Actions	5-4
	5.4	Cumulat	ive Impacts Analysis	5-4
		5.4.1	Transportation	
		5.4.2	Acquisitions, Displacements, and Relocations	
		5.4.3	Land Use	
		5.4.4	Economics	5-9
		5.4.5	Social Resources, Community Facilities, and Neighborhoods	5-10
		5.4.6	Visual and Aesthetic Resources	
		5.4.7	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5-11
		5.4.8	Noise and Vibration	
		5.4.9	Ecosystem Resources	5-12
		5.4.10	Water Resources	
		5.4.11	Energy	5-14
		5.4.12	Geology and Soils	
		5.4.13	Hazardous Materials	
		5.4.14	Electromagnetic Fields	5-15
		5.4.15	Public Services, Safety, and Security	
		5.4.16	Utilities	
		5.4.17	Historic and Archaeological Resources	
		5.4.18	Park and Recreational Resources	5-16

Figures

Figure ES-1	Project Vicinity	ES-2
Figure ES-2	Link Light Rail System Expansion	ES-3
Figure ES-3	Project Milestones	ES-4
Figure ES-4	Alternatives Evaluated in the Draft EIS	ES-12
Figure ES-5	Federal Way Segment Alternatives	ES-14
Figure ES-6	South Federal Way Segment Alternatives	ES-18
Figure ES-7	Fife Segment Alternatives	ES-24
Figure ES-8	Tacoma Segment Alternatives	ES-31
Figure ES-9	Project Public Review and Comment Process	ES-40
Figure 1-1	Tacoma Dome Link Extension Project Corridor	1-2
Figure 1-2	Link Light Rail System Expansion	1-3
Figure 1-3	Project Milestones	1-8
Figure 2-1	Typical Elevated, At-Grade, Retained-Cut, and Retained-Fill Guideway	2-3
Figure 2-2	Typical Overhead Catenary System	2-5
Figure 2-3	Traction Power Substation	2-6
Figure 2-4	Signal Bungalow	2-6
Figure 2-5	Pocket Track	2-6
Figure 2-6	Crossover Tracks	2-7
Figure 2-7	Hi-Rail Access Vehicle	2-7
Figure 2-8	Link Light Rail Train	2-8
Figure 2-9	OMF South	2-9
Figure 2-10	Federal Way Segment Alternatives	2-14
Figure 2-11	South Federal Way Segment Alternatives	2-15
Figure 2-12	SF Enchanted Parkway Station with Parking Garage	2-16
Figure 2-13	SF Enchanted Parkway Station with Surface Parking	2-16
Figure 2-14	SF 352nd Span Station Option with Parking Garage	2-17
Figure 2-15	SF 352nd Span Station Option with Surface Parking	2-17
Figure 2-16	SF I-5 Station with Parking Garage	2-18
Figure 2-17	SF I-5 Station with Surface Parking	2-18
Figure 2-18	SF 99-Enchanted Station with Parking Garage	2-19
Figure 2-19	SF 99-Enchanted Station with Surface Parking	2-19
Figure 2-20	SF 99-352nd Station with Parking Garage	2-20
Figure 2-21	SF 99-352nd Station with Surface Parking	2-20
Figure 2-22	Fife Segment Alternatives	2-22

Figure 2-23	Fife Station with Parking Garage	2-23
Figure 2-24	Fife Station with Surface Parking	2-23
Figure 2-25	Fife 54th Avenue Station Option with Parking Garage	2-24
Figure 2-26	Fife 54th Avenue Station Option with Surface Parking	2-24
Figure 2-27	Fife 54th Span Station Option with Parking Garage	2-25
Figure 2-28	Fife 54th Span Station Option with Surface Parking	2-25
Figure 2-29	Tacoma Segment Alternatives	2-27
Figure 2-30	Portland Avenue Station	2-28
Figure 2-31	Portland Avenue Span Station Option	2-28
Figure 2-32	Straddle Bent	2-29
Figure 2-33	Tacoma 25th Street-West Station	2-29
Figure 2-34	Tacoma 25th Street-East Station	2-30
Figure 2-35	Tacoma Close to Sounder Station	2-31
Figure 2-36	Tacoma 26th Street Station	2-32
Figure 2-37	Construction of an Elevated Guideway	2-40
Figure 2-38	Construction of Elevated Guideway Showing False-Work	2-40
Figure 2-39	Construction of Guideway Columns	2-40
Figure 2-40	Staging Area Adjacent to Guideway Construction	2-42
Figure 2-41	Construction along I-5 (Typical Cross-Section)	2-43
Figure 2-42	Construction of Elevated Guideway on Side of Roadway (Typical Cross Section)	2-43
Figure 2-43	Construction of Elevated Guideway in Median (Typical Cross Section)	2-45
Figure 2-44	Construction of Elevated Guideway in Median (Typical Plan View)	2-45
Figure 3-1	Study Area	3-2
Figure 3-2	Screenline Count Locations	3-5
Figure 3-3	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – AM Peak Hour, Federal Way Segment	3-31
Figure 3-4	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – PM Peak Hour, Federal Way Segment	3-32
Figure 3-5	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – AM Peak Hour, South Federal Way Segment	3-33
Figure 3-6	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – PM Peak Hour, South Federal Way Segment	3-34
Figure 3-7	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – AM Peak Hour, Fife Segment	3-35
Figure 3-8	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – PM Peak Hour. Fife Segment	3-36

Figure 3-9	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – AM Peak Hour, Tacoma Segment	3-37
Figure 3-10	2042 No-Build and Build Alternative Traffic Operations – PM Peak Hour, Tacoma Segment	3-38
Figure 4.2-1	Generalized Land Use Designations – Federal Way Segment	4.2-3
Figure 4.2-2	Generalized Existing Land Use – Federal Way Segment	4.2-4
Figure 4.2-3	Generalized Land Use Designations – South Federal Way Segment	4.2-5
Figure 4.2-4	Generalized Existing Land Use – South Federal Way Segment	4.2-6
Figure 4.2-5	Generalized Land Use Designations – Fife Segment	4.2-9
Figure 4.2-6	Generalized Existing Land Use – Fife Segment	4.2-10
Figure 4.2-7	Generalized Land Use Designations – Tacoma Segment	4.2-11
Figure 4.2-8	Generalized Existing Land Use – Tacoma Segment	4.2-12
Figure 4.4-1	Neighborhoods in the TDLE Study Area	4.4-3
Figure 4.4-2	Social Resources Study Area, Federal Way Segment	4.4-4
Figure 4.4-3	Social Resources Study Area, South Federal Way Segment	4.4-5
Figure 4.4-4	Social Resources Study Area, Fife Segment	4.4-7
Figure 4.4-5	Social Resources Study Area, Tacoma Segment	4.4-8
Figure 4.5-1	Landscape Unit Overview	4.5-2
Figure 4.5-2	Landscape Unit and Observation Points – Federal Way Segment	4.5-5
Figure 4.5-3	Landscape Units and Observation Points – South Federal Way Segment	4.5-7
Figure 4.5-4	Landscape Units and Observation Points – Fife Segment	4.5-9
Figure 4.5-5	Landscape Units and Observation Points – Tacoma Segment	4.5-11
Figure 4.5-6	Seminole Lane, Belmor Mobile Home Park, looking east (Observation Point 3)	4.5-16
Figure 4.5-7	Enchanted Parkway South of S 348th Street, looking southwest (Observation Point 6)	4.5-19
Figure 4.5-8	SR 99 at Gethsemane Cemetery, looking north (Observation Point 15)	4.5-20
Figure 4.5-9	Pacific Highway E at 40th Avenue E, looking west (Observation Point 26)	4.5-22
Figure 4.5-10	Chateau Rainier Apartments, looking southeast (Observation Point 28)	4.5-23
Figure 4.5-11	I-5, looking northwest (Observation Point 30)	4.5-23
Figure 4.5-12	E 25th Street West of East J Street, looking west (Observation Point 37)	4.5-25
Figure 4.5-13	E 25th Street from Link Station, looking east (Observation Point 38)	4.5-26
Figure 4.5-14	LeMay Museum Balcony, Looking North (Observation Point 42)	4.5-27

Figure 4.7-1	FTA Noise Impact Criteria	4.7-2
Figure 4.7-2	Existing Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations for the Federal Way Segment	4.7-6
Figure 4.7-3	Existing Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations for the South Federal Way Segment	4.7-7
Figure 4.7-4	Existing Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations for the Fife Segment	4.7-8
Figure 4.7-5	Existing Noise and Vibration Measurement Locations for the Tacoma Segment	4.7-9
Figure 4.7-6	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Preferred FW Enchanted Parkway Alternative Federal Way Segment	4.7-12
Figure 4.7-7	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures FW Design Option Federal Way Segment	4.7-13
Figure 4.7-8	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures SF Enchanted Parkway Alternative South Federal Way Segment	4.7-14
Figure 4.7-9	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures SF I-5 Alternative South Federal Way Segment	4.7-15
Figure 4.7-10	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures SF 99-West Alternative South Federal Way Segment	4.7-16
Figure 4.7-11	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures SF 99- East Alternative South Federal Way Segment	4.7-17
Figure 4.7-12	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife Pacific Highway Alternative Fife Segment	4.7-18
Figure 4.7-13	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife Pacific Highway with 54th Avenue Design Option Fife Segment	4.7-19
Figure 4.7-14	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife Pacific Highway with 54th Span Design Option Fife Segment	4.7-20
Figure 4.7-15	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife Median Alternative Fife Segment	4.7-21
Figure 4.7-16	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife Median with 54th Avenue Design Option Fife Segment	4.7-22
Figure 4.7-17	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife Median with 54th Span Design Option Fife Segment	4.7-23
Figure 4.7-18	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife I-5 Alternative Fife Segment	4.7-24
Figure 4.7-19	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife I-5 with 54th Avenue Design Option Fife Segment	4.7-25
Figure 4.7-20	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Fife I-5 with 54th Span Design Option Fife Segment	4.7-26
Figure 4.7-21	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Preferred Tacoma 25th Street-West Alternative Tacoma Segment	4 7 ₋ 97

Figure 4.7-22	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Tacoma 25th Street-East Alternative Tacoma Segment	4.7-28
Figure 4.7-23	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Tacoma Close to Sounder Alternative Tacoma Segment	4.7-29
Figure 4.7-24	Noise and Vibration Impact Locations and Mitigation Measures Tacoma 26th Street Alternative Tacoma Segment	4.7-30
Figure 4.7-25	Typical Train Noise Source Mitigation	4.7-35
Figure 4.8-1	Federal Way Segment Surface Water Resources	4.8-3
Figure 4.8-2	South Federal Way Segment Surface Water Resources	4.8-4
Figure 4.8-3	Fife Segment Surface Water Resources	4.8-5
Figure 4.8-4	Tacoma Segment Surface Water Resources	4.8-6
Figure 4.8-5	Study Area Groundwater Resources	4.8-11
Figure 4.8-6	Relative Sea Level Rise	4.8-13
Figure 4.9-1	Ecosystem Resources Study Area Federal Way Segment	4.9-4
Figure 4.9-2	Ecosystem Resources Study Area South Federal Way Segment	4.9-5
Figure 4.9-3	Ecosystem Resources Study Area South Federal Way Segment	4.9-6
Figure 4.9-4	Ecosystems Resources Study Area Fife Segment	4.9-7
Figure 4.9-5	Ecosystems Resources Study Area Fife Segment	4.9-8
Figure 4.9-6	Ecosystems Resources Study Area Tacoma Segment	4.9-9
Figure 4.11-1	Topography	4.11-2
Figure 4.12-1	High-Risk Sites in the South Federal Way Segment	4.12-3
Figure 4.12-2	High-Risk Sites in the Fife Segment	4.12-4
Figure 4.12-3	High-Risk Sites in the Tacoma Segment	4.12-5
Figure 4.14-1	Public Services, Safety, and Security within 0.5 Mile of Project Alternatives, Federal Way Segment	4.14-3
Figure 4.14-2	Public Services, Safety, and Security within 0.5 Mile of Project Alternatives, South Federal Way Segment	4.14-4
Figure 4.14-3	Public Services, Safety, and Security within 0.5 Mile of Project Alternatives, Fife Segment	4.14-5
Figure 4.14-4	Public Services, Safety, and Security within 0.5 Mile of Project Alternatives, Tacoma Segment	4.14-6
Figure 4.16-1	Tacoma Dome Link Extension APE	4.16-3
Figure 4.16-2	Built-Environment Resources in the South Federal Way Segment	4.16-10
Figure 4.16-3	Built-Environment Resources in the Fife Segment	4.16-11
Figure 4.16-4	Built-Environment Resources in the Tacoma Segment	4.16-12
Figure 4.16-5	34726 16th Avenue S, view southeast	4.16-18
Figure 4.16-6	34726 16th Avenue S. view northwest	4.16-18

Figure 4.16-7	726 S 356th Street, view northwest	4.16-18
Figure 4.16-8	726 S 356th Street, view southwest	4.16-18
Figure 4.16-9	School at 36605 Pacific Highway S, view southwest	4.16-18
Figure 4.16-10	Classrooms at 36605 Pacific Highway S, view north	4.16-18
Figure 4.16-11	36530A Pacific Highway S, courtesy of the King County Assessor	4.16-19
Figure 4.16-12	36606 Pacific Highway S, courtesy of Redfin.com	4.16-19
Figure 4.16-13	36903 Pacific Highway S, undated, courtesy of the King County Assessor	4.16-19
Figure 4.16-14	36903 Pacific Highway S, undated, courtesy of the Redfin.com	4.16-19
Figure 4.16-15	3700 Pacific Highway S, view southwest	4.16-19
Figure 4.16-16	3700 Pacific Highway S, view southwest	4.16-19
Figure 4.16-17	7909 Pacific Highway E, view northwest	4.16-20
Figure 4.16-18	7909 Pacific Highway E, view west	4.16-20
Figure 4.16-19	7700 Pacific Highway E, view southeast	4.16-20
Figure 4.16-20	7700 Pacific Highway E, view south	4.16-20
Figure 4.16-21	1309 62nd Avenue E, view east	4.16-24
Figure 4.16-22	1309 62nd Avenue E, with Garage to the East, view southeast	4.16-24
Figure 4.16-23	4306 Pacific Highway E, view southwest	4.16-25
Figure 4.16-24	4306 Pacific Highway E, view southeast	4.16-25
Figure 4.16-25	BNSF Rail from I-5, West Bank of the Puyallup River, view north	4.16-27
Figure 4.16-26	BNSF Rail and Bridge from I 5, West Bank of the Puyallup River, view south	4.16-27
Figure 4.16-27	Residence at 1320 E 26th Street, view south	4.16-27
Figure 4.16-28	Residence at 1320 E 26th Street, view southeast	4.16-27
Figure 4.16-29	Residence at 1112 E 26th Street, view southwest	4.16-27
Figure 4.16-30	Residence at 1112 E 26th Street, view southeast	4.16-27
Figure 4.16-31	Residence at 1106 E 26th Street, view southwest	4.16-28
Figure 4.16-32	Residence at 1106 E 26th Street, view southeast	4.16-28
Figure 4.16-33	BNSF Freight Warehouse at 603–605 Puyallup Avenue, view northwest	4.16-28
Figure 4.16-34	BNSF Freight Warehouse at 603–605 Puyallup Avenue, view northeast	A 16 28
Figure 4 16-35	Puyallup River Levees, view north from the I-5 bridge	
G	Puyallup River Levees, view north from the I-5 bridge	
· ·	Commercial Building at 101 E 26th Street, Facade and East	
	Flevation view northwest	<i>1</i> 16 ₋ 20

Figure 4.16-38	Commercial Building at 101 E 26th Street, Undated, view northwest, courtesy of the Department of the Interior	.4.16-29
Figure 4.16-39	Commercial Building at 102 S 26th Street, Facade and West Elevation, view southeast	.4.16-29
Figure 4.16-40	Commercial Building at 102 S 26th Street, East Elevation, view west	.4.16-29
Figure 4.16-41	Almond Roca Factory at 110 E 26th Street, view southwest	.4.16-29
Figure 4.16-42	Original Brown and Haley Factory at 110 E 26th Street, 1948, courtesy of Tacoma Public Library	
Figure 4.16-43	Pavilion at 102 E 26th Street, view southwest	.4.16-30
Figure 4.16-44	Pavilion at 102 E 26th Street, view southeast	.4.16-30
Figure 4.17-1	Parks, Open Space, and Recreational Resources in the Federal Way Segment Study Area	4.17-4
Figure 4.17-2	Parks, Open Space, and Recreational Resources in the South Federal Way Segment Study Area	4.17-5
Figure 4.17-3	Parks, Open Space, and Recreational Resources in the Fife Segment Study Area	4.17-6
Figure 4.17-4	Parks, Open Space, and Recreational Resources in the Tacoma Segment Study Area	4.17-7
Figure 4.18-1	Section 4(f) Resources – Federal Way Segment	4.18-2
Figure 4.18-2	Section 4(f) Resources – South Federal Way Segment	4.18-3
Figure 4.18-3	Section 4(f) Resources – Fife Segment	4.18-4
Figure 4.18-4	Section 4(f) Resources – Tacoma Segment	4.18-5

Tables

Table ES-1	Summary of TDLE Build Alternatives and Station and Design Options Evaluated in Draft EISES	
Table ES-2	Summary of Key Potential Impacts – Federal Way Segment	ES-15
Table ES-3	Summary of Key Potential Impacts – South Federal Way Segment	ES-19
Table ES-4	Summary of Key Potential Impacts – Fife Segment	ES-25
Table ES-5	Summary of Key Potential Impacts – Tacoma Segment	ES-32
Table ES-6	Opinion of Probable Cost for TDLE in 2024 Dollars	ES-44
Table 1-1	History of Plans and Studies in the TDLE Corridor	1-7
Table 2-1	Weekday Service Schedule (2035 and 2042)	2-8
Table 2-2	Summary of TDLE Alternatives and Design Options Evaluated in Draft EIS	2-10
Table 2-3	TDLE Alternatives Carried Forward	2-36
Table 2-4	TDLE Alternatives Suggested During Scoping and Not Carried Forward	2-37
Table 2-5	Major Construction Activities and Durations	2-39
Table 2-6	Opinion of Probable Cost for TDLE in 2024 Dollars	2-50
Table 3-1	2019 and 2023 Estimated Traffic Volumes	3-3
Table 3-2	Existing 2016 Regional Travel Measures – Daily VMT, VHT, and VHD by Mode	3-4
Table 3-3	2016 Weekday Transit Ridership	3-6
Table 3-4	Intersection Operations Standards for Affected Agencies	3-9
Table 3-5	Intersections Performing Below Agency Standards (2019)	3-10
Table 3-6	Tacoma Freight Priority Network	3-11
Table 3-7	2016-2018 Crashes by Type at Study Intersections	3-14
Table 3-8	2042 TDLE Weekday Daily Transit Trips and TDLE Riders	3-19
Table 3-9	TDLE Boardings by Station (2042)	3-19
Table 3-10	PM Peak Period Mode of Access at TDLE Stations (2042) – Passengers Exiting the Train	3-20
Table 3-11	PM Peak Period Mode of Access at TDLE Stations (2042) – Boardings .	3-21
Table 3-12	2042 South Federal Way and Fife Interim Terminus Weekday Ridership and TDLE Riders	3-24
Table 3-13	Intersections Operating Below Applicable Agency Standards in the No-Build and Build Alternatives	3-29
Table 3-14	Station Area Nonmotorized Trips – Year 2042 PM Peak Period	3-46
Table 3-15	Parking Impacts by Build Alternative	3-55

Table 4.1-1	Summary of Potential Properties Affected and Displacements by Alternative	4.1-3
Table 4.1-2	Number of Potential Permanently Affected Parcels and Displacements by Alternative and Land Use	4.1-5
Table 4.1-3	Tribal Lands Requiring Renewable Easements for Long-Term Project Operation	4.1-10
Table 4.2-1	Estimated Conversion of Existing Land Uses to Transportation-Related Use (in acres)	4.2-14
Table 4.3-1	Estimated Businesses and Employees Displacements	4.3-5
Table 4.3-2	Total Existing Taxable Assessed Valuation by Alternative	4.3-9
Table 4.3-3	Estimated Direct Expenditures and Direct Employment during Construction	4.3-12
Table 4.4-1	Study Area Demographics	4.4-9
Table 4.4-2	Population Characteristics	4.4-10
Table 4.4-3	Parcels with Potential Permanent Effects and Displaced Social Resources	4.4-13
Table 4.5-1	Federal Way Segment Visual Impacts near Concentrations of Sensitive Viewers	4.5-15
Table 4.5-2	South Federal Way Segment Visual Impacts near Concentrations of Sensitive Viewers	4.5-17
Table 4.5-3	Fife Segment near Concentrations of Sensitive Viewers	4.5-21
Table 4.5-4	Tacoma Segment near Concentrations of Sensitive Viewers	4.5-24
Table 4.6-1	Monitored Criteria Pollutant Concentration	4.6-3
Table 4.6-2	Weekday Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel, Vehicle Hours of Travel, and Vehicle Hours of Delay	4.6-5
Table 4.6-3	Regional Criteria Pollutant Emissions	4.6-6
Table 4.6-4	Annual Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Project Construction and Operation	4.6-8
Table 4.7-1	Typical Day-Night (Ldn) Noise Exposure Levels	
Table 4.7-2	Land Use Categories and Metrics for Transit Noise Impact Criteria	4.7-3
Table 4.7-3	Summary of Existing Ambient Noise Measurement Results	4.7-5
Table 4.7-4	Summary of Potential Noise and Vibration Impacts for the TDLE Alternatives	4.7-11
Table 4.7-5	Typical Construction Scenario, At-Grade Track	4.7-33
Table 4.8-1	Summary of Surface Water Bodies by Segment	4.8-7
Table 4.8-2	Surface Water: Comparison of Alternatives by Segment	4.8-16
Table 4.8-3	Estimated Changes in Impervious Surface	4.8-17
Table 4.9-1	Summary of Streams in the Study Area	
Table 4.9-2	Potential Long-Term Impacts on Aquatic Resources by Alternative	

Table 4.9-3	Potential Long-Term Impacts on Native Forest Habitats by Alternative.	4.9-26
Table 4.9-4	Potential Long-Term Wetland Impacts by Alternative	4.9-27
Table 4.9-5	Potential Construction-Related Impacts on Aquatic Resources by Alternative	4.9-35
Table 4.9-6	Potential Construction-Related Impacts on Vegetation, by Alternative	4.9-38
Table 4.9-7	Potential Construction-Related Impacts on Wetlands by Alternative	4.9-39
Table 4.10-1	Existing Energy Consumption by Mode in King, Pierce, Kitsap, and Snohomish Counties	4.10-2
Table 4.10-2	Energy Consumption by Mode for No-Build and Build Alternatives	4.10-3
Table 4.10-3	TDLE Energy Model Inputs	4.10-5
Table 4.10-4	Estimated Energy Consumed During Construction	4.10-5
Table 4.12-1	High-Risk Hazardous Materials Sites, South Federal Way Segment	4.12-9
Table 4.12-2	High-Risk Hazardous Materials Sites, Fife Segment	4.12-10
Table 4.12-3	High-Risk Hazardous Materials Sites, Tacoma Segment	4.12-10
Table 4.14-1	Violent and Property Crime Rates by Jurisdiction in 2020	4.14-7
Table 4.14-2	Crime near Transit Facilities between June and December 2022	4.14-8
Table 4.14-3	Schools and Administrative Buildings in the TDLE Study Area	4.14-11
Table 4.14-4	Other Public Service Providers in the TDLE Study Area	4.14-12
Table 4.15-1	Summary of Existing Utility Providers	4.15-1
Table 4.15-2	Summary of Major Utility Conflicts	4.15-5
Table 4.16-1	Previously Documented Archaeological Sites within the APE from North to South	4.16-4
Table 4.16-2	NRHP- and/or WHR-Eligible or Listed Built Environment Resources	4.16-6
Table 4.16-3	Archaeology Survey Results and NRHP Status	4.16-7
Table 4.16-4	Built-Environment Resources Eligible for the NRHP for TDLE	4.16-8
Table 4.16-5	Effects on Built-Environment Resources in the South Federal Way Segment	4.16-21
Table 4.16-6	Effects on Built-Environment Resources in the Fife Segment	4.16-26
Table 4.16-7	Effects on Built-Environment Resources in the Tacoma Segment	4.16-30
Table 4.17-1	Parks, Open Space, and Recreational Resources in the Study Area	4.17-1
Table 4.18-1	Summary of Section 4(f) Resources in the TDLE Study Area	4.18-1
Table 4.18 2	Summary of Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Determinations by Alternative for the South Federal Way Segment	4.18-6
Table 4.18-3	Summary of Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Determinations by Alternative for the Fife Segment	4.18-7
Table 4.18-4	Summary of Preliminary Section 4(f) Use Determinations by Alternative for the Tacoma Segment	4.18-7
Table 4.18-5	Proposed Measures to Minimize Harm to Section 4(f) Resources	4.18-8

List of Appendices

Appendix A	Draf	Draft EIS Support Information		
	A1	List of Preparers		
	A2	Distribution List		
	A3	Acronyms and Glossary		
	A4	References		
Appendix B	Pub	lic Involvement and Tribal and Agency Coordination		
Appendix C	Env	vironmental Justice		
Appendix D	Draf	Draft Individual Section 4(f) Evaluation		
Appendix E	Not	Not in use		
Appendix F	Con	Conceptual Design Drawings		
Appendix G	Pres	Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Actions		
Appendix H	Supporting Information for Other Technical Analysis			
	H1	Potentially Affected Parcels		
	H2	Land Use		
	НЗ	Economics Supporting Information		
	H4	Air Quality Supporting Information		
	H5	Water Resources Supporting Information		
	Н6	Geology and Soils Supporting Information		
	H7	Hazardous Materials Supporting Information		
	Н8	Utilities Supporting Materials		
Appendix I	Alternatives Development Supporting Documents			
	I 1	Early Scoping Summary Report		
	12	Scoping Summary Report		
	13	Pre-Screening and Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation Report		
	14	Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation Report		
	15	Screening for Fife Station Options		
	16	Screening for Additional Alternatives in South Federal Way to Milton		
Appendix J	Tec	hnical Reports		
	J1	Transportation Technical Report		
	J2	Visual and Aesthetics Resources Background and Simulation Analysis		
	J3	Noise and Vibration Technical Report		
	J4	Ecosystem Resources Technical Report		
	J5	Historical and Archaeological Resources Technical Report		