SOUND TRANSIT STAFF REPORT

MOTION NO. M2009-85

On-Call Architecture and Engineering Services Contract with HDR

Meeting:	Date:	Type of Action:	Staff Contact:	Phone:
Finance Committee	11/05/09	Discussion/Possible Action	Jim Edwards, Director Capital Projects Jodi Mitchell, Project	(206) 398-5436 (206) 398-5080
			Manager Capital Projects	,

Contract/Agreement Type:	✓	Requested Action:	✓
Competitive Procurement	✓	Execute New Contract/Agreement	✓
Sole Source		Amend Existing Contract/Agreement	
Agreement with Other Jurisdiction(s)		Budget Amendment	
Real Estate		Property Acquisition	

PROJECT NAME

On-Call Architecture and Engineering Services

PROPOSED ACTION

Authorizes the chief executive officer to execute a three-year contract with one additional one-year option with HDR to provide on-call architecture and engineering services for a total authorized amount of \$500,000.

KEY FEATURES of PROPOSED ACTION

- The contract provides for a three-year term with one additional one-year option to extend. The requested amount is enough to cover all four years of the contract.
- The contract provides for the following services for ongoing capital projects and operations projects which will be authorized by issuance of individual task orders:
 - Architectural and Engineering
 - Independent Cost Estimates
 - o Design Reviews
 - Engineering Analysis
 - Civil Engineering
 - Structural Engineering
 - o Railroad Engineering
 - Small Projects
 - CADD/Graphic Support Services
 - o Construction Supervision for Small Projects

BUDGET IMPACT SUMMARY

Current Project Phase: On going Projected Completion Date: On going

There is no action outside of the Board-adopted budget; there are no contingency funds required, no subarea impacts, or funding required from other parties other than what is already assumed in the financial plan.

BUDGET and FINANCIAL PLAN DISCUSSION

This on-call contract will be used for ongoing capital projects and operations projects. Costs associated with architectural and engineering services work are usually combined with other project element costs such as preliminary engineering and final design within the overall capital project budgets and post construction within the Facilities and Transit Operations expenses in the Operations budget. It is expected that this contract will be used equally by the Capital Projects and Operations groups. The services described in the proposed action would be assigned, available budget verified and expenditures tracked, on a task order basis by project.

There are sufficient funds to complete this action. Committing these funds will not endanger any other project elements that are to be funded out of the overall project.

BUDGET TABLE

Not applicable for this action.

SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION

This contract did not require any minimum DBE participation goal for this RFQ. However, to ensure an equal opportunity has been given to DBEs to compete for portions of the work included in this RFQ, submitters shall conduct outreach to solicit and secure DBE participation and fully and fairly consider utilizing DBEs to perform portions of the work.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION and BACKGROUND for PROPOSED ACTION

In July 2009, Sound Transit issued an RFP for two on-call architectural and engineering contracts to assist the Capital Projects and Operations Departments for performance of architectural and engineering and railroad engineering work as the need for such work arises. Procuring two separate task-order contracts allowed more competition among proposers and offers Sound Transit flexibility in assigning different types of work.

The work will be authorized by issuance of individual task orders. It is anticipated that services required will fall into one of the following categories of work:

- Performing professional analyses
- Assessment and quantification of costs associated with design, construction and maintenance of transit systems
- Assisting Sound Transit in the development and evaluation of civil and small structural engineering projects
- Developing plans and specifications for construction of track and signal elements of Sounder Commuter Rail projects
- Providing engineering design and services for small projects associated with needs and repairs of operating transit facilities.

Analysis of Contracting Out versus In-House

Providing these services in-house is not practical for the following reasons:

- The work associated with the projects is sporadic and substantially less than full time for all consultant positions, such that Sound Transit should not pay full-time salaries to provide in house.
- It is reasonable to expect Sound Transit FTE costs to be greater than contracted labor costs due to economies of scale that the consultant is operating under. This includes the additional costs of professional liability insurance for engineering.

Prior Board/Committee Actions

None

CONSEQUENCES of DELAY

A two-week delay would not create adverse impacts to this action.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

Not applicable for this action

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE

SK 9/11/09

LEGAL REVIEW

LA 9/24/09

SOUND TRANSIT

MOTION NO. M2009-85

A motion of the Finance Committee of the Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority authorizing the chief executive officer to execute a three-year contract with one additional one-year option with HDR to provide on-call architecture and engineering services for a total authorized amount of \$500,000.

Background:

In July 2009, Sound Transit issued an RFP for two on-call architectural and engineering contracts to assist the Capital Projects and Operations Departments for performance of architectural and engineering and railroad engineering work as the need for such work arises. Procuring two separate task-order contracts allowed more competition among proposers and offers Sound Transit flexibility in assigning different types of work.

The work will be authorized by issuance of individual task orders. It is anticipated that services required will fall into one of the following categories of work:

- Performing professional analyses
- Assessment and quantification of costs associated with design, construction and maintenance of transit systems
- Assisting Sound Transit in the development and evaluation of civil and small structural engineering projects
- Developing plans and specifications for construction of track and signal elements of Sounder Commuter Rail projects
- Providing engineering design and services for small projects associated with needs and repairs of operating transit facilities.

Analysis of Contracting Out versus In-House

Providing these services in-house is not practical for the following reasons:

- The work associated with the projects is sporadic and substantially less than full time for all consultant positions, such that Sound Transit should not pay full-time salaries to provide in house.
- It is reasonable to expect Sound Transit FTE costs to be greater than contracted labor costs due to economies of scale that the consultant is operating under. This includes the additional costs of professional liability insurance for engineering.

Motion:

It is hereby moved by the Finance Committee of the Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority that the chief executive officer is authorized to execute a three-year contract with one additional one-year option with HDR to provide on-call architecture and engineering services for a total authorized amount of \$500,000.

APPROVED by the Finance Committee of the Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority at a regular meeting thereof held on November 5, 2009.

Aaron Reardon

Finance Committee Chair

ATTEST:

Marcia Walker

Board Administrator